

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/705,199	BAAR, DAVID J.P.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SUMAN DEBNATH	2435	

**All Participants:**

(1) Nathan Grebasch.

(2) Suman Debnath.

**Date of Interview:** 22 February 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**

1, 20, 23, 29, 33

**Prior art documents discussed:**

n/a

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed claim 16 to be written in an independent form and/or limitations from claim 16 to be included in all the independent claims. Applicant approved Examiner Amendment with respect to claims 1, 20, 23, 29 and 33. Examiner Amendment is provided in accompanying Office Action.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)